



Corruptions and Conflicts of Interest!


WHO: Corruption and Conflict of Interest?


Table 1. Comparison of the Programme budget 2016–2017 and the Proposed programme budget 2018–2019 (US\$ million)

Categories	Approved Programme budget 2016–2017 ^a	Proposed programme budget 2018–2019	Difference between 2016–2017 and proposed 2018–2019
1 – Communicable diseases	783.5	805.4	21.9
2 - Noncommunicable diseases	376.0	351.4	-24.6
3 – Promoting health through the life course	381.7	384.3	2.6
4 – Health systems	594.5	589.5	-5.0
E – WHO Health Emergencies Programme	485.1	554.2	69.1
6 – Corporate services/enabling functions	733.5	715.5	-18.0
Subtotal base programmes	3 354.3	3 400.3	46.0
Polio and special programmes	986.1	1 021.2	35.1
Total	4 340.4	4 421.5	81.1

Showing the budget increase for the WHO Health Emergencies Programme approved in decision WHA69(9) (2016).







WHO/Gates Foundation: Decade of Vaccines Conflicts

Bill and Melinda Gates Pledge \$10 Billion in Call for Decade of Vaccines | Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation Global Health Leaders Launch Decade of Vaccines Collaboration | Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

Media contact

Phone:

206-709-3400 🗷

Mail:

media@gatesfoundation.org →











Decade of Vaccines

Magdalena Robert

Phone: +34 93 227 1806

The Council comprises of the Director-General of the WHO, the Director of NIAID, the Director of UNICEF, the President of Gates Foundation Global Health, and the Chair of the African Malaria Alliance

NEW YORK -- The World Health Organization (WHO), UNICEF, the National Institute of Allergy and

Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation have announced a collaboration to

increase coordination across the international vaccine community and create a Global Vaccine Action

Plan. This plan will build on the successes of current work to achieve key milestones in the discovery,

development and delivery of lifesaving vaccines to the most vulnerable populations in the poorest

countries over the next decade.

World Health Organization

Global Vaccine Action Plan publication

The Global Vaccine Action Plan (GVAP) — endorsed by the 194 Member States of the World Health Assembly in May 2012 — is a framework to prevent millions of deaths by 2020 through more equitable access to existing vaccines for people in all communities.


GVAP was the product of the DoV Collaboration, an unprecedented effort that brought together development, health and immunization experts and stakeholders. The leadership of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, GAVI Alliance, UNICEF, United States National Institute of Allergies and Infectious Diseases and WHO, along with all partners – governments and elected officials, health professionals, academia, manufacturers, global agencies, development partners, civil society, media and the private sector – are committed to achieving the ambitious goals of the GVAP. Many more are expected to add their support in the future as the plan is translated and implemented at the country and regional levels.











14 JUNE 2020

Global Vaccine
Action Plan
Monitoring,
Evaluation &
Accountability:
Secretariat
Annual...

Download

Read More



WHO is in the Vaccine Business – Conflicts of Interest



a greater range of vaccines

WHO-IVB

WHO Immunization, Vaccinations and **Biologicals Regulations**

Advise D-G on Vaccine Regulations



Global Vaccine Action Plan (GVAP)

Decade of Vaccines Organization (2011–2020)

BILLG MELINDA GATES foundation **Funder**

SAGE

Norld Healt

Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on immunization

Global policies and strategies on all vaccines, vaccine-preventable diseases and technology

PSPQ-SC



The Programmatic Suitability of Prequalified **Vaccines Standing Committee**

Ensures continuing compliance with specifications and established standards of quality



IPAC

Immunization Practices **Advisory Committee**

Strengthen GVAP vaccine service delivery

EPI



Expanded Programme on Immunization

Assessment - strengths and weaknesses of Immunization programmes

IVIR-AC



Immunization and Vaccines Related Implementation Research Advisory Committee

Vaccine value assessments, research, effectiveness and impact studies

PDVAC



Product Development for Vaccines Advisory Committee

Recommendations infectious disease pathogens, associated vaccine and monoclonal antibody product development

ECBS



Expert Committee on Biological Standardization

Establish guidelines for manufacturing, licensing and control of vaccines, blood products and related in vitro diagnostic tests and biotechnology products

GACVS



Global Advisory Committee on Vaccine Safety

Scientific advice to WHO on vaccine safety

Manufacturers Network

Developing Countries Vaccine Manufacturers Network

Strengthen vaccine manufacturers technology transfer initiatives

VP-WG



Vaccine Prioritization Working Group

Evaluate candidate COVID-19 vaccines for the WHO international Solidarity Trial Vaccines

VPPAG



Vaccine Presentation and **Packaging Advisory Group**

Recommendations vaccine formulations, labelling, temp stability

WHO EMP



WHO Essential Medicines and Health Products Price

Sets international standards for the manufacturing and regulation and harmonization aligned with SDG



Pandemic Influenza World Horganiz **Preparedness Framework**















































Whistleblower exposes the corruption of the WHO, Bill Gates and GAVI



- WHO has been infiltrated by big players, with major conflict of interest like GAVI, Gates Foundation
- GAVI operates like a Vaccine Cartel, controlling the coronavirus response of nations. They have 'Total Immunity' from prosecution.
- Bill Gates funded GAVI (Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunisation) has created a system called "Performance based funding" whereby they financially punish nations based on their compliance or non-compliance to vaccination programs.
- World Bank and GAVI (BMGF funded) created the IFFIM International Financial Facility for Immunization. They have an agreement that GAVI receives money from countries. GAVI dictates vaccine policies for countries and countries pay them – HUGE CONFLICT OF INTEREST



Whistleblower exposes WHO Corruption

The WHO as a corporate agency by its constitution can direct governments, their health ministries, as a legal obligation to implement international health regulations as directed by the WHO.

WHO has become a health dictatorship, where the Director-General on his own can decide to sell vaccines, sell the PCR

If Tedros (WHO Director-General) decrees something, all the countries have to obey under law.

In 2017, Bill Gates requested to be part of the executive board of the WHO, like a member state. They even put it to a vote that he be recognised as a member state, which is unprecedented in the constitution.

Swiss Medic (FDA equivalent in Switzerland) have signed an agreement with Bill Gates in collaboration with WHO. I suspect every country has signed similar agreements


Dr Astrid Stuckelberger WHO Insider and Whistleblower



Gates Foundation funding/investment in Covid Vaccine Candidates: Conflicts of Interest

Company	Covid Vaccine Candidate	Туре	Value Investment (US\$)
Pfizer/BioNTech	BNT162b2 mRNA	To develop mRNA-based vaccines for infectious diseases	\$27,5 million (July 2022) \$100 million (May 2022) \$4,918,943 (BioNTech 2020) \$17,252,854 (2016)
AstraZeneca	ChAdOx1 nCov-19	Develop COVID-19 DNA vaccine	\$750 million (2020)(20)
ModernaTX, Inc.	mRNA-1273 (BMGF non-exclusive license)	To develop mRNA-based vaccines for infectious diseases	\$100 million (future projects upto 2022) \$1,051,128 (2019) \$19,984,859 (2016)
Novavax	NVX-CoV2373	Purified protein antigen Vaccine development	\$15 million (2020) \$388 million (2020)(CEPI) \$89 million
CureVac	CVnCoV	mRNA coronavirus vaccine	\$52 million (27)
Icosavax Inc.	IVX-411	Develop COVID-19 vaccine	\$10 million (2020)
Inovio Pharmaceuticals	INO-4800	Develop COVID-19 DNA vaccine	\$5 million (2020)
Vir Biotechnology, Inc.		HIV vaccine development	\$10 million (2022) \$10 million (2021)
Merck	Molnupiravir	Antiviral drug	\$120 million (2021)

Gates Foundation funding/investment in WHO: Conflicts of Interest







Total Contributions (2020-2021)

Country/ Foundation	Total Contribution	Percentage
Germany	\$1.3 billion	21.7%
Gates Foundation/ Gavi	\$1.2 billion	20.3%
USA	\$693 million	11.9%
Total	\$5.8 billion	

WHO funding/investment : Conflicts of Interest






Total Funding = \$5.624 billion

NOTES: Voluntary includes specified and core voluntary contributions. Other includes PIP contributions.

SOURCES: WHO, "Contributors – Funding by funding type, 2018-2019," accessed April 15, 2020, <a href="https://open.who.int/2018-19/contributors/cont


World Health Organisation (WHO) Revenue by Type, 2022 - 2023



Total Funding = \$7.908 billion


NOTE: "Voluntary" includes specified, thematic, and core voluntary contributions received. "Other" includes PIP contributions. Does not include projected funding.

8OURCE: WHO, Contributors 2022-2023: Funding by Contributor - Updated until 04-2023. Accessed May 12, 2022. PNG





WHO funding/investment : Conflicts of Interest





SEVENTY-FIFTH WORLD HEALTH ASSEMBLY Provisional agenda item 22.1 A75/INF./5 25 April 2022

Voluntary contributions by fund and contributor

Contributor	(2021)	(2020)	(2019)
Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation	\$375 597 694	\$375 546 744	\$226 337 804
GAVI Alliance	\$244 540 452	\$187 080 008	\$230 120 648
CDC Foundation	\$1 858 347	\$2 197 194	\$2 844 154
Clinton Health Access Initiative	\$856 492	\$444 021	\$402 343
Rockefeller Foundation	\$750 000	\$3 906 800	\$2 514 994
Grand Challenges Canada (BMGF)			
Gates Ventures, LLC	\$218 000	\$310 750	
Open Society Foundations	\$265 000	\$240 000	\$161 753
Global Antibiotic Research & Development Partnership (GARDP)	\$258 896	\$149 090	
Johnson and Johnson Family of Companies Contribution Fund, Inc.	\$14 957 229	\$600 000	
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health			
Path Vaccine Solutions (PVS)			\$161 753
Program for Appropriate Technology In Health (PATH)	\$972 455		\$345 500
Wellcome Trust	\$5 574 180		
Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria	\$29 700 000	\$17 745 189	\$15 718 411
International Vaccine Institute (IVI)	\$317 000	\$60 000	
UNITAID	\$33 519 000	\$18 308 869	\$20 296 997
World Bank	\$38 735 962	\$93 592 671	\$74 005 754
World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE)	\$107 502		
Population Action International (PAI)	\$14 194	\$287 251	\$370 000
Rotary International	\$84 661 438	\$89 162 889	\$86 559 824

WHO Funding

80% from voluntary contributions

18% from assessed contribution

45% voluntary contributions (2018 – 2019) from Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

Fully invested in Covid Industry

https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files /WHA71/A71_INF2-en.pdf

World Health Organisation: Big Pharma Influence



CONTRIBUTORS

Period 2020 - 2021

	WHO Contributors	Amount(Percentage)	WHO Contributors	Amount(Percentage)	
	Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation	\$751M(9.39%)	Johnson & Johnson	\$45 000	*
*	GAVI Alliance	\$432M(6.43%)	Johnson & Johnson Companies Contribution Fund	\$495 000	*
*	Wellcome Trust	\$7.5M(0.12%)	Gilead Sciences Inc.	\$5.3M(0.08%)	*
	World Bank	\$158.1M(2.54%)	Merck & Co.	\$277 028(0.04%)	*
*	COVID-19 Solidarity Fund	\$85.8M(1.38%)	GlaxoSmithKline	\$2M(0.03%)	*
	United Nations Development Fund (UNDP)	\$85.6M(1.38%)	Sanofi-Aventis	\$10.8M(0.17%)	*
	CDC Foundation	\$4.8M(0.07%)	Bayer AG	0.07%	
	Gates Ventures	\$218 000	Bristol-Myers Squibb	\$143 236	
*	Path Vaccine Solutions	\$92 568	Hoffman-La Roche	\$4 435 165	
	National Institutes of Health (USA)	\$688 000	Novartis	\$1.6M(0.03%)	*
*	Program for Appropriate Technology in Health (PATH)	\$972 000(0.02%)	Sandoz AG	\$93 458	*
*	Rockefeller Foundation	\$5.6M0.09%	Eisai Co. Ltd	\$451 426	
	Open Society Foundations	\$265 132	Ely Lilly & Foundation		
	Clinton Health Access Initiative	\$1.2M(0.02%)			
	Care International				
	Sabin Vaccine Institute				
	Pill O Malinda Catas Foundation diseast investment				

^{*} Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation direct investment

WHO Funding: Corruption or Conflict of Interest?

Pharmaceutical Industry donations to WHO (General Fund)


Contributor	2017	2015	2014	2013	2012
Bayer AG	\$1,158,060				
Bristol-Myers Squibb	\$215,730				
GlaxoSmithKline	\$7,365,666	\$4,094,000	\$6,158,153	\$8,266,284	\$5,785,000
Gilead Sciences	\$3,124,450				
Hoffman-La Roche	\$6,628,090	\$2,165 000	\$6,158,153	\$4,806,492	
Merck	\$510,000				
Merck Sharp and Dohme Chibret	\$1,652,226				
Novartis	\$500,000	\$2,834,000	\$5,300,000	\$4,500,000	
Sanofi Pasteur	\$9,411,491				
Sanofi-Aventis	\$2,634,963				
Eisai	\$280,000				
Denka Seiken	\$417,324				
Green Cross Corporation	\$294,582				
Kaketsuken	\$417,324				
Rockefeller Foundation	\$748,945				

WHO – Big Pharma Conflicts of Interest



PIP CONTRIBUTIONS

Pandemic Influenza Preparedness



WHO – Big Pharma Conflicts of Interest



SEVENTY-FIRST WORLD HEALTH ASSEMBLY Provisional agenda item 15.1

A71/INF./2 19 April 2018

Voluntary contributions by fund and by contributor, 2017


World Health Organization Schedule 5 – In-kind and in-service voluntary contributions For the year ended 31 December 2017 (in US dollars) - GENERAL FUND

Contributor	In-Kind	In-Service	Total
Bayer AG	426 335		426 335
Merck	39 176 493		39 176 493
GlaxoSmithKline (GSK)	24 100 186		24 100 186
Gilead Sciences Inc.	1 316 250		1 316 250
Johnson and Johnson Family of Companies Contribution Fund, Inc.	14 006 469		14 006 469
Novartis	4 054 840		4 054 840
Eisai Co., Ltd (Japan)	5 770 735		5 770 735
Fondation d'Entreprise Sanofi Espoir	936 023		936 023

WHO – Big Pharma Conflicts of Interest



ALL IN-KIND / SERVICE CONTRIBUTORS





Treachery: Vaccine Hesitancy







What the WHO failed to mention, however, is the preponderant Rather than focusing on strengthening health care in poor countries – that would help to contain future outbreaks like the Ebola, Covid pandemics – the WHO spends a disproportionate amount of its resources on projects with the measurable outcomes which benefits the Gates Foundation.

WHO's financial contracts are secret and not open to public scrutiny



"No one should be on a committee developing guidelines if they have links to companies that either produce a product — vaccine or drug — or a medical device or test for a disease. It would be preferable that there are no financial ties when it comes to making big decisions on public health—for example, stockpiling a drug—and that includes if they have a currently funded clinical trial."



Prof Barbara Mintzes

Professor of evidence-based pharmaceutical policy, School of Pharmacy and Charles Perkins Centre, Faculty of Medicine and Health Lead, Evidence, Policy and Influence Collaborative

Charles Perkins Centre

